UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,601	03/09/2006	Andrew Smith Johnstone Stewart	08830-0388US1	5770
	7590 02/22/200 DDLE & REATH	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTELI	LECTUAL PROPERT	KHANNA, HEMANT		
ONE LOGAN S	SQUARE IERRY STREETS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IA, PA 19103-6996	1654		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/566,601	STEWART, ANDREW SMITH JOHNSTONE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hemant Khanna	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	arch 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Son is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:					

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-11 have been presented for examination.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the title "Brief Description of the Drawings" is lacking in the accompanying disclosure. See 37 CFR
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, the phrase "as up to" should be corrected to read "is up to". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites in the preamble "a method for synthesizing a given peptide".

Taken together, the method steps result in a peptide that is bound at its C-terminal end to a second resin. It is not clear if the claimed method of producing a synthesized

Art Unit: 1654

peptide is intended to have the peptide remain on the resin or is it intended to have the peptide cleaved off the resin. Thus claim 1 is indefinite. Claims 2-11 depend from claim 1. Thus, claims 2-11 are indefinite.

Claim 2 recites the relative term "long peptide" without specifying a lower or an upper limit to the length of the peptide. The specification is unclear about the length of the "long peptide". While the specification defines a "long peptide" as one having atleast 20 amino acid residues [008], it exemplifies a medium length peptide as one having about 30 residues [004]. Appropriate correction of the claim is required to define the metes and bounds of the "long peptide", so that the claim does not read on the embodiments of the "medium peptide".

Claim 9 recites the limitation "wherein said given peptide as up to 150 amino acid residues". In light of the specification the applicant intends to claim a "long peptide" wherein the peptide is atleast 20 amino acids long. Appropriate correction of the claim is required to define the lower limit of the "given peptide".

Claim 11 recites the limitation "wherein the C-terminal portion is fully protected so it can be attached onto the second resin". It is not clear how a peptide with a C-terminal proline can attach onto the second resin when the peptide's C-terminal is protected and the proline residue does not have any side-chain functionality to attach to the second resin.

Conclusion

6. The subject matter in claims 1-11 is free of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,601

Art Unit: 1654

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant Khanna whose telephone number is (571) 272-9045. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ax

Hemant Khanna Ph.D. February 01, 2007

B. DELL CHISM PRIMARY EXAMINER